IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS HOT SPRINGS DIVISION

DARRELL K. EDGIN PLAINTIFF

VS.

Civil No. 6:18-cv-06112

COMMISIONER, SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

**DEFENDANT** 

## **ORDER**

Pending now before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees Pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"). ECF No. 16. Defendant has not responded to this Motion, and the time to respond has expired. *See* Local Rule 7.2(b). The Parties have consented to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge to conduct any and all proceedings in this case, including conducting the trial, ordering the entry of a final judgment, and conducting all post-judgment proceedings. ECF No. 5. Pursuant to this authority, the Court issues this Order.

## 1. <u>Background</u>:

On November 6, 2018, Darrell K. Edgin ("Plaintiff") appealed to the Court from the Secretary of the Social Security Administration's ("SSA") denial of his request for disability benefits. ECF No. 1. On September 30, 2019, Plaintiff's case was reversed and remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). ECF Nos. 14-15.

On December 5, 2019, Plaintiff filed this Motion. ECF No. 16. With this Motion, Plaintiff requests an award of \$3,611.00 under the EAJA. *Id.* This includes 3.6 hours at an attorney hourly rate of \$196.00 for work performed in 2018 and 14.6 hours at an attorney hourly rate of \$199.00. *Id.* Defendant has not responded to this Motion and presumably has no objections to this Motion.

## 2. Applicable Law:

Pursuant to the EAJA, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A), a court must award attorney's fees to a prevailing social security claimant unless the Secretary's position in denying benefits was substantially justified. The Secretary has the burden of proving that the denial of benefits was substantially justified. *See Jackson v. Bowen,* 807 F.2d 127, 128 (8th Cir.1986) ("The Secretary bears the burden of proving that its position in the administrative and judicial proceedings below was substantially justified"). An EAJA application also must be made within thirty days of a final judgment in an action, *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(B), or within thirty days after the sixty day time for appeal has expired. *See Shalala v. Schaefer,* 509 U.S. 292, 298 (1993).

An award of attorney's fees under the EAJA is appropriate even though, at the conclusion of the case, the plaintiff's attorney may be authorized to charge and to collect a fee pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1). Recovery of attorney's fees under both the EAJA and 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1) was specifically allowed when Congress amended the EAJA in 1985. *See Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 796 (2002) (citing Pub. L. No. 99-80, 99 Stat. 186 (1985)). The United States Supreme Court stated that Congress harmonized an award of attorney's fees under the EAJA and under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1) as follows:

Fee awards may be made under both prescriptions [EAJA and 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)], but the claimant's attorney must "refun[d] to the claimant the amount of the smaller fee.". . ."Thus, an EAJA award offsets an award under Section 406(b), so that the [amount of total past-due benefits the claimant actually receives] will be increased by the . . . EAJA award up to the point the claimant receives 100 percent of the past-due benefits."

*Id.* Furthermore, awarding fees under both acts facilitates the purposes of the EAJA, which is to shift to the United States the prevailing party's litigation expenses incurred while contesting

unreasonable government action. *See id.*; *Cornella v. Schweiker*, 728 F.2d 978, 986 (8th Cir. 1984).

The statutory ceiling for an EAJA fee award is \$125.00 per hour. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(2)(A). A court is only authorized to exceed this statutory rate if "the court determines that an increase in the cost of living or a special factor, such as the limited availability of qualified attorneys for the proceedings involved, justifies a higher fee." *Id.* A court may determine that there has been an increase in the cost of living, and may thereby increase the attorney's rate per hour, based upon the United States Department of Labor's Consumer Price Index ("CPI"). *See Johnson v. Sullivan*, 919 F.2d 503, 504 (8th Cir. 1990). *See also* General Order 39 ("Attorney's Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act").

## 3. Discussion:

In the present action, Plaintiff's case was remanded to the SSA. ECF Nos. 14-15. Defendant has not responded and does not contest Plaintiff's claim that he is the prevailing party and does not oppose his application for fees under the EAJA. The Court construes the lack of opposition to this application as an admission that the government's decision to deny benefits was not "substantially justified" and that Plaintiff is the prevailing party.

Plaintiff requests a total award of \$3,611.00. ECF No. 16. This includes 3.6 hours at an attorney hourly rate of \$196.00 for work performed in 2018 and 14.6 hours at an attorney hourly rate of \$199.00 for work performed in 2019. *Id.* Defendant has raised no objections to Plaintiff's Motion or his requested fees. These attorney hourly rates are authorized by the EAJA as long as the CPI-South Index justifies these enhanced rates. *See* General Order 39. *See also* 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(2)(A); *Johnson*, 919 F.2d at 504. In the present action, the Court finds the CPI-South

Index authorizes \$195.00 for work performed in 2018 and \$199.00 for work performed in 2019.

Thus, the Court awards those hourly rates.

Ratliff requires that attorney's fees be awarded to the "prevailing party" or the litigant. See

id. 130 S.Ct. at 2528. Thus, these fees must be awarded to Plaintiff, not to Plaintiff's attorney.

However, if Plaintiff has executed a valid assignment to Plaintiff's attorney of all rights in an

attorney's fee award and Plaintiff owes no outstanding debt to the federal government, the

attorney's fee may be awarded directly to Plaintiff's attorney.

4. <u>Conclusion</u>:

Based upon the foregoing, the Court awards Plaintiff \$3,607.40 pursuant to the EAJA, 28

U.S.C. § 2412. This includes 3.6 hours at an attorney hourly rate of \$195.00 for work performed

in 2018 and 14.6 hours at an attorney hourly rate of \$199.00 for work performed in 2019.

ENTERED this 7th day of January 2020.

/s/ **Barry A. Bryant**HON. BARRY A. BRYANT
U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE